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#### Abstract

The synthesis and structure-activity relationship (SAR) analysis of a novel series of trialkoxyaryl derivatives, as specific and competitive inhibitors of platelet activating factor (PAF), are described. Molecular modeling comparisons of PAF with the known antagonists Ginkgolide B and L-652731 led to the selection of $N$-[2-[(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoyl)oxy]ethyl]$N, N, N$-trimethylammonium iodide (1) from the Wellcome registry of compounds and to the synthesis of the lead compound $N$-[2-[[4-(hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzoyl]oxy]ethyl]-N,N,Ntrimethylammonium iodide ( $3, \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}} 5.43$ ). Further SAR considerations directed the design to 2-(hexyloxy)-1,3-dimethoxy-5-[4-(4-methylthiazol-5-yl)butyl]benzene (38) (p $K_{\mathrm{b}} 7.14$ ), a novel, specific, and competitive inhibitor of the PAF receptor in rabbit-washed platelets.


## Introduction

Platelet activating factor (1-O-alkyl-2-acetyl-sn-glyc-eryl-3-phosphorylcholine; PAF) ${ }^{1-4}$ has been shown to be the most powerful inducer of platelet aggregation yet described and its isolation and/or activity in a diversity of animal models of human disease ${ }^{5}$ has implicated the compound as a powerful mediator of inflammation. In recent years these studies have been extended such that PAF is now thought to play a major role in a number of pathological disease states in humans, such as bronchial asthma, ${ }^{6}$ inflammatory bowel disease, ${ }^{7}$ septic shock, ${ }^{8}$ and brain injury. ${ }^{9}$ The ether lipid is thought to exert its effects by interaction with specific receptors ${ }^{10}$ located on a diversity of cell types, and the significance of PAF in human disease has led to the development of a number of compounds which are purported to antagonize the interaction of PAF with these receptors. ${ }^{11}$
In this study we now describe the synthesis and development of a novel series of selective PAF receptor antagonists, together with the methods for their evaluation.

## Chemistry

All compounds described in Tables 1-3, except 1, are novel, and their syntheses are outlined in Schemes 1-7.

Scheme 1 illustrates the synthesis of quaternary amine 6 but has also been applied to others indicated in the tables. Thus, using a phase transfer catalyst, methyl syringate 39 was readily alkylated to triether 40 and then subsequently hydrolyzed, under basic conditions, to acid 41. Treatment of 41 with thionyl chloride gave acid chloride 42. Tertiary amine 30 was obtained from 42 by esterifying with 4-(dimethylamino)butanol and then was quaternized with MeI to yield 6.
The tertiary amines $\mathbf{3 0}$ were sometimes difficult to purify, so the opportunity was taken to examine other
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## Scheme $1^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{5} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NHSO}_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene; (ii) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (iii) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$; (iv) $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, toluene; (v) MeI, MeOH .

## Scheme $\mathbf{2}^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) THF, $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$; (ii) NaI , MeCOEt; (iii) $\mathrm{Me} \mathrm{e}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, MeCOEt.
syntheses which did not proceed through tertiary amines. In particular, esterification of acid chloride 43 with THF in the presence of zinc chloride (Scheme 2) gave the chloro compound 44 which, by standard methods, was converted to the readily purified iodo analogue 45. Trimethylamine then gave crystalline 20 which was easily separated from 45.
Scheme 3 illustrates the synthesis of the phenol 49 and its conversion into the quaternary amine 21 with its reversed ester configuration. Syringaldehyde 46 was alkylated, as before, to 47, and the resultant aldehyde was oxidized, with $m$-chloroperoxybenzoic acid, to formate 48 before basic hydrolysis to phenol 49. Dicyclo-

Scheme $3^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{Me}^{2}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{5} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NHSO}_{4}$, toluene; (ii) $m$-CPBA, DCM; (iii) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (iv) $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{4} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, DMAP, DCCI, DMF; (v) Mel, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$.

## Scheme $4^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}^{+}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{4} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{Br}^{-}, \mathrm{PhH}, t$ - BuOK ; (ii) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $\mathrm{MeOCOCl}, \mathrm{THF}$; (iii) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{THF}$; (iv) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (v) $\mathrm{MeI}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$.
hexylcarbodiimide coupling of the phenol with 5 -(dimethylamino) pentanoic acid led to 50 which on methylation with methyl iodide gave a low yield of the quaternary base 21.
Analogues without the ester moiety, but with some conformational restriction, were synthesized via the route illustrated by Scheme 4. The Wittig reaction of (4-carboxybutyl)triphenylphosphorane with aldehyde 47 yielded olefinic acid 51. Methyl chloroformate at low temperature gave mixed anhydride 52, and the action of dimethylamine on this gave a mixture of the $E$ and $Z$ isomers of amide 53. Flash chromatography separated the two isomers, and each was taken through the rest of the synthesis in turn. Lithium aluminum hydride reduction of the amide group of Z-53 proceeded, without concomitant reduction of the olefin, to give tertiary amine $Z-54$ which was then quaternized as usual giving 23. The $E$ isomer 26 was obtained similarly.

Purification problems attended the synthesis of 25 by reduction of the olefinic moiety of 23 or 24; hence, its preparation via Scheme 5 was examined. The olefinic alcohol 55, obtained from aldehyde 47 by a standard Wittig reaction, was hydrogenated over $10 \%$ palladium on charcoal to give the hexanol 56. Tosylation followed by reaction with lithium iodide gave a readily purifiable iodo derivative 58. Trimethylamine then yielded the quaternary base 25 as an oil.
The incorporation of a phenyl ring into the link between ester and quaternary amine was achieved by the synthesis described in Scheme 6. Here the phenolic
alcohol 61 was made by reduction of the mixed anhydride 60 derived from 2-hydroxyphenylacetic acid 59 and ethyl chloroformate. The phenol was then reacted with acid chloride 42 to give ester 62 which was converted, as described above, to the desired compound 26.

Finally, the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 8}$, a compound made to avoid problems associated with quaternary bases, is shown in Scheme 7. One intermediate, phosphonium salt 67, was readily prepared following sodium borohydride reduction of aldehyde 47 to alcohol 65, bromination to 66, and subsequent treatment with triphenylphosphine. The other intermediate, aldehyde 71, was unstable and hence was used immediately on synthesis. This was achieved by reductive hydrolysis of nitrile 70, which itself was made from alcohol 68 via mesylate 69. The reaction of aldehyde 71 and phosphonium salt 67 gave a $2: 1$ mixture of the $E$ and $Z$ isomers of olefin 72. The stereoisomeric mixture was hydrogenated over $10 \%$ palladium on charcoal to give 38.

## Results and Discussion

In a preliminary modeling exercise, employing conventional three-dimensional computer-graphic techniques, we were able to overlay the PAF molecule and two known PAF antagonists, Ginkgolide B and L-652731 (Figure 1). ${ }^{12}$ By superimposing the common features of the PAF molecule and the antagonists, several overlays were possible, and from one such overlay an initial target structure (compound 1) was selected from the Wellcome registry of compounds. This compound, $N$-[2-[(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoyl)oxy]ethyl]-N,N,N-trimethylammonium iodide (1), ${ }^{13}$ exhibited weak PAF antagonist activity. The modeling exercise also indicated that replacement of the 4-methoxy moiety of 1 with a long alkoxy chain would mimic the PAF molecule more closely and, indeed, as the chain was extended ( 2 and 3), the antagonist potency did increase. However, the longer chain molecule (4) also inhibited the aggregation of platelets induced by ADP and U46619, indicating a nonspecific effect on platelet function. Nevertheless, these preliminary studies had generated a lead compound (3) which was a novel, specific, competitive inhibitor of PAF-induced platelet aggregation with an acceptable level of activity ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}=5.43$ ).

At this stage a conventional chemical approach to examine SAR was employed. Table 1 shows the compounds synthesized to explore the methylene chain length between the ester and quaternary amine moieties. Optimal activity and selectivity was obtained with four methylenes (6) ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}} 6.01$ ). As this was an increase in potency, albeit modest, this aspect of the inhibitor molecule was retained and other alkoxy substituents were examined more thoroughly (Table 2). The longer 4 -alkoxy substituents ( $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1}$, and 12), as with compound 4 (Table 1), again introduced nonspecificity into the antagonist molecule's actions. The highest affinity, with specificity, was found with hexyloxy 6 . The position of the hexyloxy chain on the phenyl ring was found to be important; para to the ester group gave a compound with higher affinity than its meta analogue (17). Antagonist activity was also reduced when this hexyl chain was replaced by either an aliphatic ( 19 and 20) or aromatic (18) ring system. Replacement of the methoxyl moieties by hydrogen, methyl or chlorine (13,

Scheme $\mathbf{5}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}^{+}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{5} \mathrm{OH} \mathrm{Br}^{-}, \mathrm{PhH}, t$ - BuOK ; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (iii) $p$ - TsCl , pyridine; (iv) LiI, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$; (v) $\mathrm{Me} 3 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$.

## Scheme $\mathbf{6}^{a}$



${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, EtOCOCl, THF; (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}$; (iii) 42 , THF, 2 M NaOH ; (iv) $p$ - TsCl , pyridine; (v) $\mathrm{LiI}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$; (vi) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$.

## Scheme ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}{ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}$ : (ii) $\mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$; (iii) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$, toluene; (iv) MesCl, DCM; (v) KCN, 18-crown-6, DMF; (vi) DIBALH , toluene; (vii) 2 M HCl ; (viii) $t$-BuOK, THF; (ix) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ EtOAc.
14,15 , and 16) was unfavorable, with the more lipophilic compounds ( 14,15 , and 16) showing nonspecificity as well as reduced antagonist potency. These initial results suggested that 4-(hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxy (as in 6) was the optimum substituent pattern for this part of the molecule.

Examination of the variations to the spacer chain, between the phenyl ring and nitrogen-containing moiety, and to the nitrogen-containing group itself, were carried out concurrently (Table 3). A lowering in affinity was seen when the ester group was "reversed" (21) or moved within the chain (22). Similarly the introduction


Figure 1.
Table 1. $N$-[ $\omega$-[[4-(Alkyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzoyl]oxy]alkyl]$N, N, N$-trimethylammonium Iodides


| mpd | R | $n$ | synthetic |  | formula |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Me | 2 | A | 180-181 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{3}$ | 2 | A | 185 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{5}$ | 2 | A | 187-189 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{9}$ | 2 | A | 186 | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{5}$ | 3 | A | 154-155 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{5}$ | 4 | A | 137-138 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{5}$ | 6 | A | 68-71 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I} . \\ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ | C, H, N |

${ }^{a}$ Literature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{mp} 175-178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
of a benzene ring into the chain (26, 27, and 28) also reduced activity, but in these cases nonspecificity also appeared.

An apparent increase in affinity was seen when the ester group of 6 was replaced by two methylenes, 25 ; however, handling and solubility problems prevented accurate biological measurements. The $E$-olefin intermediate to this compound (24) had a higher activity ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}$ 6.21) than either its $Z$-analogue (23) or the ester analogue (6), suggesting that conformational constraints on the molecule might lead to improved potency.

A number of nitrogen-containing end groups were examined (Table 3) and a variety of quaternary nitrogencontaining "bulky" systems could not only be accommodated by the receptor ( $29,31,32$, and 33 ), but in some cases their affinity was increased. Even higher affinities were achieved by the introduction of both conformational constraints and bulk into the "spacer chain" as well as the end group (34, 35, and 37).
Although simple tertiary amines such as 30 lowered affinity by 1 order of magnitude, the thiazole 36 had an affinity equal to the corresponding quaternary amine 6. Combination of this end group with a tetramethylene "spacer chain" gave 38 ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}} 7.14$ ), a novel, potent,

Table 2. $N$-[4-[(Substituted-benzoyl)oxy]butyl] $N, N, N$-trimethylammonium Iodides


| compd | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | synthetic method | $\mathrm{mp}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | formula | analyses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | MeO | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{4} \mathrm{O}$ | MeO | A | 142-142.5 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{H}^{\text {a }}$ |
| 9 | MeO | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{6} \mathrm{O}$ | MeO | A | 139.5-140.5 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 10 | MeO | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{7} \mathrm{O}$ | MeO | A | 138.5-140 | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 11 | MeO | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{9} \mathrm{O}$ | MeO | A | 144-146 | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{C}^{\text {b }}$ |
| 12 | MeO | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{15} \mathrm{O}$ | MeO | A | 142-144 | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 13 | MeO | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{5} \mathrm{O}$ | H | A | 140-141 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 14 | H | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{5} \mathrm{O}$ | H | A | 179-180 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 15 | MeO | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{5} \mathrm{O}$ | Cl | B | 113-114 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{ClI}$ | C, H, N |
| 16 | Me | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{5} \mathrm{O}$ | Me | B | 163-164 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 17 | $\mathrm{Me}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{5} \mathrm{O}$ | MeO | MeO | A | 83-85 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}^{3 / 4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 18 | MeO | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | MeO | A | 158-162 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 19 | MeO | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | MeO | A | 135-137 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, $\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{H}^{\text {d }}$ |
| 20 | MeO | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | MeO | B | 148-150 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N, I |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{H}$ : calcd, 7.07; found, 6.57. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 53.89; found, 53.28. ${ }^{c}$ Decomposed. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{H}$ : calcd, 7.10; found, 7.67.
Table 3. 4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl Derivatives


| compd | A | X | synthetic method | $\mathrm{mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | formula | analyses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | $\mathrm{OCO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{+} \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ | C | 75-77 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~T} \cdot 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 22 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{+} \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ | C | 100-102 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 23 | $Z^{a} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{+} \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ | D | 85-87 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 24 | $E^{b} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{+} \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ | D | 148-150 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{I} \cdot 1 / 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 25 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{+} \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ | E | c | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ |  |
| 26 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{+} \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ | F | 181-182.5 | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 27 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{+} \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ | F | 205-206 | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 28 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{+} \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ | A | $189{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 29 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{+} \mathrm{Et}_{3}$ | A | e | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 30 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | A | 113-114 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | C, H, N |
| 31 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | pyridinium | B | 104-104.5 | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | H, N; ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 32 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | quinolinium | B | 98.5-100 | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 33 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | thiazolium | B | 113-115 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SCl}$ | C, H, N |
| 34 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | g | A | 141-143 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ | C, H, N |
| 35 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | $h$ | A | 151-153 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ | C, H, N |
| 36 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | $i$ | A | 138.5-140.5 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$ | C, H, N |
| 37 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | $j$ | A | 132.5-134.5 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SI}$ | C, H, N |
| 38 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | , | G | 98-99.5 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$ | C, H, N |

${ }^{a} 3 \%$ of $E$ isomer. ${ }^{b} 5 \%$ of $Z$ isomer. ${ }^{c}$ Oil. ${ }^{d}$ Decomposed. ${ }^{e}$ Pasty solid. ${ }^{f} \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 53.04 ; found, $53.54 .{ }^{8} \mathrm{~N}$-Methylquinolinium-6-yl. ${ }^{h} \mathrm{~N}$ -Methylquinolinium-8-yl. ${ }^{i}$ 4-Methylthiazol-5-yl. ${ }^{j}$ 3,4-Dimethylthiazol-5-yl.
specific, and competitive inhibitor of the PAF receptor in rabbit-washed platelets.

## Biological Assay Methods

1. Preparation of Platelet Suspensions. Blood was withdrawn from the carotid artery of anaesthetized male New Zealand White rabbits ( $2-3 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) into polycarbonate tubes containing sodium citrate [final concentration $0.315 \%$ ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ )]. Platelet-rich plasma was prepared by the immediate centrifugation of the blood at 220 g for 15 min at room temperature. Washed platelet (WP) suspensions were prepared as previously described, ${ }^{14}$ using prostacyclin to protect the cells from activation during isolation procedures. The final platelet suspension was prepared in prostacyclin-free Tyrodes solution ( $3 \times 10^{8}$ platelets $/ \mathrm{mL}$ ) and stored at room temperature for at least 2 h in order to achieve maximum sensitivity to aggregatory agonists.
2. Aggregation Assays. i. Primary Assay: Estimation of $\mathbf{I C}_{50}$ Ratio. Platelet aggregation was moni-
tored in a dual-channel Payton aggregometer according to the light transmission method of Born. ${ }^{15}$ A doseresponse curve to PAF was established and the $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ concentration determined. Aggregations to this dose of PAF in the presence of a range of concentrations of antagonist were measured, and the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for the antagonist was estimated. In each experiment the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value for an internal reference compound (3) was also determined such that the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ratio for each antagonist could be calculated according to the formula $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ratio $=1000$ ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ new compound)/( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of reference compound 3) This simple system determined the relative antagonist potency of each compound, thereby allowing rapid selection of potent compounds for further screening. The range of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values obtained for the reference compound was $0.65-7.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ : mean $=2.41 \pm 0.14$. $(n=$ 85).
ii. Secondary Assay: Determination of $\mathbf{p} K_{\mathbf{b}}$. Full dose-response curves for PAF-induced platelet aggregation in the presence of a range of concentrations of a

Table 4. Biological Results

| compd | PAF-induced aggregation |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PAF } \\ \text { binding } \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{i}} \end{gathered}$ | ADP aggregation $\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ratio $^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |  |
| 1 | 15000 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3371 |  |  | $\mathrm{NE}^{b}$ at 50 |
| 3 | 1000 | 5.43 |  | NE at 50 |
| 4 | 153 |  | 5.8 | 2 |
| 5 | 7000 |  |  | NE at 50 |
| 6 | 164 | 6.01 | 6.57 | NE at 50 |
| 7 | 270 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 540 |  |  | NE at 50 |
| 9 | 199 |  |  | NE at 50 |
| 10 | 103 |  |  | 13.8 |
| 11 | 33 |  | 6.73 | 2.1 |
| 12 | 35 |  | 6.85 | 2.9 |
| 13 | 1735 |  | 5.33 | NE at 50 |
| 14 | 1403 |  | 4.88 | 22.5 |
| 15 | 263 |  | 5.58 | 9.6 |
| 16 | 265 |  |  | 12 |
| 17 |  |  | 6.31 |  |
| 18 | 533 |  | 5.92 | NE at 50 |
| 19 | 203 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 443 |  |  | $c$ |
| 21 | 833 |  |  | NE at 20 |
| 22 | 820 |  |  | c |
| 23 | 200 | 5.71 |  | NE at 20 |
| 24 | 133 | 6.21 | 6.99 | NE at 20 |
| 25 | 65 |  | 7.48 |  |
| 26 | 507 |  |  | $d$ |
| 27 | 200 |  |  | 11.8 |
| 28 | 144 |  | 5.67 | 16 |
| 29 | 115 | 6.0 | 6.72 | NE at 50 |
| 30 | 1500 |  | 5.64 | NE at 50 |
| 31 | 107 | 6.07 | 7.08 | NE at 20 |
| 32 | 57.3 | 6.37 |  | NE at 20 |
| 33 | 48 | 6.16 | 7.6 | NE at 20 |
| 34 | 13 | 6.73 | 7.21 | NE at 20 |
| 35 | 11.7 | 6.73 |  | NE at 20 |
| 36 | 116 | 6.01 | 7.38 |  |
| 37 | 114 | 6.07 |  | NE at 20 |
| 38 | 14.7 | 7.14 | 8.59 | 13.5 |
| L652731 ${ }^{7}$ |  | 6.75 |  |  |
| WEB $2086{ }^{7}$ |  | 7.42 | 8.15 |  |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ratio is $1000 \times$ the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of the test compound divided by the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of a standard (3). ${ }^{b} \mathrm{NE}$, no effect. ${ }^{c}$ U46619 aggregation NE at $20 \mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{d} \mathrm{U} 46619$ aggregation $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 22 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
selected antagonist were established. The data obtained were evaluated according to the methods described by Schild, ${ }^{16}$ and the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}$ was determined.
iii. Selectivity Assay. Every compound with potential PAF-antagonist activity was evaluated for activity against other aggregatory agonists, principally adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and the thromboxane mimetic, U46619. The $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ concentration for each agonist was determined, and an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value for each antagonist was obtained. In the majority of cases the antagonists had little inhibitory effects at concentrations below the exclusion value of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
3. PAF-Binding Assay. Platelet suspensions were prepared as outlined above, except that the anticoagulant used was ACD, aspirin was omitted from the washing buffer, and the platelets were finally suspended in a Tris-HCl buffer ( 10 mM ; pH 7.4) containing EDTA ( 2 mM ) and $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{mM}$ ) to a cell concentration of $5 \times$ $10^{8} / \mathrm{mL}$. The suspensions were incubated with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PAF ( $90 \mathrm{mCi} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), without stirring, at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Under these conditions there was no evidence of aggregation of the cells, and the $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PAF was not metabolized or incorporated into platelet membranes. Maximum specific binding was achieved after 90 min incubation and specific binding represented $55-75 \%$ of the total bind-
ing. Scatchard analysis of the data indicated one class of specific binding site ( $K_{\mathrm{d}}=1 \mathrm{nM}$; $B_{\text {max }}=9.3 \mathrm{fmol} / 10^{7}$ cells, indicating 559 binding sites per cell).

## Experimental Section

Melting points were taken on either an Electrothermal or Gallenkamp digital melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Proton magnetic resonance spectra were taken on either a JEOL JNM PMX60SI or Bruker AC200 spectrometer. NMR spectra were obtained for each compound reported and are consistent with the assigned structures. CHN microanalyses were obtained with a Carlo Erba 1106 elemental analyser. Flash chromatography used Merck silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh ASTM). All solvents, except ether, were dried over molecular sieves 3 A . Ether was dried using $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Pb}$ alloy. Temperatures quoted are in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and are uncorrected.
Method A. 4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzoic Acid (41). ${ }^{17}$ A mixture of methyl syringate ( 39 ) $(2.12 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NHSO}_{4}(0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 10$ mmol ), and 1-bromohexane ( $1.68 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry toluene ( 50 mL ) was stirred and heated to reflux for 6 h . The mixture was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with toluene. The toluene extracts were combined, dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to give $\mathbf{4 0}$ ( 2.6 g ) which was used directly in the next step.

A solution of $40(2.6 \mathrm{~g})$ in industrial methylated spirits (IMS) $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to reflux with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 15 min . The IMS was removed in vacuo, and the residue was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and then acidified with concentrated HCl . The precipitated solid was separated by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried to give 41 ( $2.37 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ from 39 ), mp $112-113$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[4-[[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzoyl]oxy]butyl]$N, N$-dimethylamine (30). A solution of 41 ( $1.41 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in thionyl chloride ( 1.25 mL ) was heated to reflux for 2 h . The thionyl chloride was removed in vacuo as an azeotrope with dry toluene ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to give crude 42.

A solution of 42 in dry toluene ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of ( $N, N$-dimethylamino)ethanol $(0.575 \mathrm{~g}$, 5 mmol ) in dry toluene ( 20 mL ). The solution was stirred and heated to reflux for 2 h and then evaporated in vacuo. The residual oil was triturated with dry ether ( 20 mL ) to give a white solid which was separated by filtration, washed with dry ether, and dried giving $30 \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%)$, mp 113114.
$N$-[4-[[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzoyl]oxy]butyl]$N, N, N$-trimethylammonium Iodide (6). A solution of $30 \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ $(1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was basified with 2 M NaOH ( 5 mL ) and then extracted with ether. The ether extracts were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to give $\mathbf{3 0}(900 \mathrm{mg}, 2.36 \mathrm{mmol})$. A solution of $\mathbf{3 0}(450 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28$ mmol ) in MeOH was treated with $\mathrm{MeI}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, left at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, and then evaporated in vacuo. The residual solid was purified by recrystallization (IMS/ether) to give $6(400 \mathrm{mg}$, $82 \%$ ): mp 138-140; NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 0.9(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.2-1.9\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.05\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.4(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$), $3.83\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.3(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), 7.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH})$.

Method B. $\boldsymbol{N}$-[4-[[4-(Cyclohexylmethoxy)-3,5-dimeth-oxybenzoyl]oxylbutyl]- $N, N, N$-trimethylammonium Iodide (20). Acid chloride 43 was prepared by essentially the same methodology described for 42 (method A), but using cyclohexylmethyl bromide as the alkylating agent for methyl syringate 39, and was used directly in the next step.

A stirred mixture of $\mathbf{4 3}(1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and zinc chloride ( 250 mg ) in dry THF ( 20 mL ) was heated to reflux for 1.5 h , and then evaporated to dryness in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic layer was separated, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to give 44 as a pale yellow oil ( 1.94 g ) which was not purified further.

A stirred mixture of $44(1.94 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaI}(2.27 \mathrm{~g}$, 15.1 mmol ) in dry butanone ( 30 mL ) was heated to reflux for 3.5 h and then was cooled and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated in vacuo, and the resultant oil was purified by flash
chromatography (eluant hexane:ether, 1:1) to give 45 as a clear oil ( $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ from 43 ).

A $33 \%$ solution of trimethylamine in $\mathrm{EtOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $45(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ in butanone ( 5 mL ). The reaction was left at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residual solid was triturated with ether, separated by filtration, and purified by recrystallization (acetone/petroleum ether (bp $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )) to afford $20(692 \mathrm{mg}$, $82 \%): \operatorname{mp} 148-150 ; \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.9-2.1(15 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.45\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.75-3.95(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$), $4.4\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), 7.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH})$.

Method C. 4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (47). The alkylation of syringaldehyde 46 ( $34.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.189 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) with 1-bromohexane ( $38 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.27 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was as described in method A for the alkylation of methyl syringate (39). The reaction mixture was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with toluene. The toluene extracts were combined, washed with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo. The residual oil was purified by distillation to give 47 ( $46.4 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ), bp 130$135^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.2 \mathrm{mmHg}$.

4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxyphenol (49). A solution of $47(26.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $85 \%$ m-chloroperbenzoic acid ( $25.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.125 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred and heated to reflux for 72 h , the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was digested in EtOAc $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo to leave formate 48 which was used in the next step without purification.

A solution of formate $48, \mathrm{MeOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $10 \%$ aqueous KOH ( $54 \mathrm{~mL}, 96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated to reflux for 2 h and then left for 16 h at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The ethereal extracts were combined and extracted with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times$ 20 mL ). All the aqueous material was combined, acidified with citric acid ( pH 6 ), and then extracted with ether ( $3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). These ether extracts were combined, dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to give 49 ( $16.1 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ from 47 ), mp 87-89.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[4-[[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxyphenoxy]carbonyl]butyl $]-\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}$-trimethylammonium Iodide (21). A mixture of $49(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{DMAP}(200 \mathrm{mg}), 5-(N, N$-dimethylamino) valeric acid ( $0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DCCI ( $0.41 \mathrm{~g}, 2$ mmol) in dry DMF ( 25 mL ) was stirred at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h . The DMF was removed in vacuo, and the residual paste was partially digested in DCM ( 50 mL ). The solid was removed by filtration, and the filtrate yielded 50 on evaporation ( 0.3 g , $39 \%$ ).

A solution of $50(0.3 \mathrm{~g})$ in dry acetone $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with MeI ( 0.5 mL ) and left at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Ether ( 5 mL ) precipitated 21 as a hemihydrate ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%$ ): mp 75-77; NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.9\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.25-1.9(12$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.65\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 3.07\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.3(\mathrm{~s}$, HOD $), 3.3\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}\right), 3.75\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH})$.

Method D. 6-[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl]hex-5-enoic Acid (51). A mixture of (4-carboxybutyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide ( $5.02 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( 500 mL ) was stirred and heated to reflux under a Dean-Stark apparatus for 30 min . Potassium tert-butoxide ( $3.13 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3$ mmol ) was added, and the mixture was stirred and heated to reflux for 2 h and then cooled to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of 47 (3.01 $\mathrm{g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry benzene ( 40 mL ) was then added dropwise, the resulting suspension was left at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h , and the reaction mixture was extracted with 1 M NaOH ( $3 \times$ 500 mL ). The aqueous extracts were combined, washed with ether ( $2 \times 500 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), acidified with citric acid ( pH 6 ), and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The ether extracts were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to give $51(2.31 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%)$ which was used without further purification.
$(E)$ - and $(Z)$ - $N, N$-Dimethyl-6-[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimeth-oxyphenyl]hex-5-enamide (53). Methyl chloroformate ( 0.61 $\mathrm{mL}, 7.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred and cooled $\left(0-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $51(2.31 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol})$
in dry THF ( 50 mL ). The solution was stirred at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , treated with a $33 \%$ solution of dimethylamine in EtOH (20 mL ), and then left at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residual oil was separated by flash chromatography, giving $Z-53(250 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \%)$ [NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 0.9\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.2-1.9\left(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.25-2.5(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.95\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CON}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.85(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 5.5-5.68\left(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{t},=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 6.35$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=11.55 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $6.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH})]$ and $E-53$ ( $680 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ ); NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.9(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.2-1.9\left(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.25-2.35\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), $2.95\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CON}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.85\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.95$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.0-6.18\left(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{t},=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 6.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=15.55 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH})$.
(Z)- $\boldsymbol{N}$-[6-[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl]hex-5-en-$1-y l]-N, N$-dimethylamine $(\boldsymbol{Z - 5 4})$. A solution of $Z-53$ (250 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry ether $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of lithium aluminum hydride ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The suspension was stirred and heated to reflux for 1.5 h and then was cooled and decomposed by careful addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL}), 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The suspension was stirred for a further 30 min and then filtered. The filtrate was dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to give an oil which was purified using preparative TLC plates (eluant butanol:acetic acid: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3: 1$ : 1) giving $Z-54$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ).

Methylation as before gave 23 ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 85-87$, softens at $83 ; \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.9\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.2-$ $1.9\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.45\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\right), 3.35(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.6\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}\right), 3.85\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 5.5-5.62\left(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 6.47$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ).

Method E. 6-[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl]hex-5-en-1-ol (55). The Wittig reaction of aldehyde 47 ( $1.33 \mathrm{~g}, 11$ mmol) with (5-hydroxypentyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide $(2.36 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was as described for the preparation of acid 51 in method D. Workup differed in that the reaction mixture was poured onto $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ether ( $3 \times$ $50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The ether extracts were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (eluant hexane:ether, 1:1), giving 55 as an oil ( $0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%$ ).

6-[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl]hexan-1-ol (56). A suspension of $55(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 2.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \%$ palladium on carbon ( 50 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was hydrogenated at atmospheric pressure for 30 min . The catalyst was removed by filtration, the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (eluant hexane: ether, $4: 1$ ) to give 56 as an oil ( $0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ).

6-[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl]-1-iodohexane (58). $p$-Toluenesulfonyl chloride ( 0.5 mL ) was added dropwise to a stirred and cooled ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of $56(0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 10 mL ), the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 to $-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , and then stored at $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 96 h . The solution was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The ether extracts were combined, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to give tosylate $57(0.48 \mathrm{~g})$.

A mixture of $57(0.48 \mathrm{~g})$ and anhydrous LiI ( 1 g ) in dry acetone ( 50 mL ) was stirred at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h and then evaporated in vacuo. The residual oil was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The ether extracts were combined, washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography (eluant hexane:ether, 4:1) gave 58 $(0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%$ from 56).
$N$-[6-[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl]hexyl]- $N, N, N$ trimethylammonium Iodide (25). A solution of $58(0.28 \mathrm{~g})$ and $33 \%$ ethanolic trimethylamine ( 1 mL ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was left at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 96 h and then evaporated in vacuo to give 25 ( $0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ) as a clear oil: $\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $0.9\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.2-1.85\left(16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.5\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$, $3.35\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.6\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}\right), 3.83\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $3.9\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.4(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH})$.

Method F. 2-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)ethanol (61). ${ }^{18}$ Astirred and cooled ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of $59(2.90 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 50 mL ) was treated dropwise with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $2.12 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and then ethyl chloroformate ( $2.07 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then was filtered, and the filtrate was added dropwise to a stirred and cooled ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of sodium borohydride ( $1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 28.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $50 \%$ aqueous THF. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min and then at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was digested in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ether ( 100 mL ). The ethereal layer was separated, washed with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na} 2_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ citric acid, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to give 61 as an oil $(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%)$ which was used without further purification.
2-[2-[[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzoyl]oxy]phenyl]ethanol (62). A solution of acid chloride 42, from acid 41 (4.71 $\mathrm{g}, 16.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in dry THF ( 50 mL ) was added dropwise over 10 min to a stirred and cooled $\left(0-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $61(2.30 \mathrm{~g}$, $16.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(8.3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and then the THF was removed in vacuo. The residue was treated with 2 M $\mathrm{NaOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The ethereal extracts were combined, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20$ mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to give 62 as a yellow oil ( $5.7 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ).

2-[2-[[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzoyl]oxylphenyl]-1-iodoethane (64). Method $E$ describes the general method used in the conversion of alcohol $62(2.61 \mathrm{~g}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ via tosylate 63 to iodo compound 64. Purification by flash chromatography (eluant petroleum ether (bp 40-60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ):ether, 3:1) gave $64(2.31 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%), \mathrm{mp} 65.5-66$.
$N$-[2-[2-[[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzoyl]oxy]-phenyl]ethyl]- $N, N, N$-trimethylammonium Iodide (26). Method E also describes the general method for the alkylation of trimethylamine with $64(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol})$. The precipitated solid was recrystallized from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give 26 ( $0.347 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 181-182.5$; NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.9\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.25-1.85\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.35(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.85-3.95\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}\right), 4.1\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $7.05-7.75$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ).

Method G. 4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzyl Bromide (66). A stirred solution of $47(42 \mathrm{~g}, 0.158 \mathrm{~mol})$ in propan2 -ol ( 300 mL ) was treated portionwise over 5 min with sodium borohydride ( $2.996 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was left at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h then was concentrated in vacuo. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 200 mL ) was added to the residue which was then extracted with ether ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The ethereal extracts were combined, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to leave 65 as a pale oil ( $40.2 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ).
HBr was bubbled through a cooled ( $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of $\mathbf{6 5}(40.2$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.15 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in chloroform ( 400 mL ) over 45 min . The resulting solution was washed with 2 M NaHCO 3 and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (eluant petroleum ether (bp $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): EtOAc, 4:1) to give 66 ( $47.9 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ).
[4-(Hexyloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzyl]triphenylphosphonium Bromide (67). A stirred solution of 66 ( $45 \mathrm{~g}, 0.135$ mol ) and triphenylphosphine ( $36 \mathrm{~g}, 0.137 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in toluene ( 300 mL ) was heated to reflux for 3 h . On cooling to $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solid was precipitated which was isolated by filtration, giving 67 ( $72 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ), mp 167-169.
3-(4-Methylthiazol-5-yl)propionitrile (70). ${ }^{19}$ Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $48.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise over 30 min to a stirred and cooled ( -50 to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of 68 ( $50.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry DCM ( 150 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at ( -10 to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 2 h and $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to give $69^{20}$ which was used directly in the next step.

A suspension of 69 , potassium cyanide ( $23 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and 18 -crown-6 ( 400 mg ) in dry DMF ( 300 mL ) was stirred at 21 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h and then at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The cooled reaction mixture was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with chloroform ( $4 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic extracts were combined, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to give a dark oil which was purified by distillation giving $70(15.53 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \%)$, bp $104-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.2 \mathrm{mmHg}$.

2-(Hexyloxy)-1,3-dimethoxy-5-[4-(4-methyl-5-thi-azolyl)but-1-enyl]benzene (72). Diisobutylaluminum hydride ( $38 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.21 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise over 20 min to a stirred and cooled $\left(-5\right.$ to $\left.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $70(28 \mathrm{~g}, 0.184 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry toluene ( 400 mL ). The solution was stirred at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and then $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The solution was stirred at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min , and then 2 M HCl $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added with cooling at $21-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and the MeOH was removed in vacuo. The aqueous phase was separated, basified with 10 M NaOH ( pH 10 ), and extracted with chloroform ( $4 \times$ 100 mL ). The chloroform extracts were combined, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to give $71(36 \mathrm{~g})$ as an orange oil which was used immediately.

Potassium tert-butoxide ( $13.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a stirred and cooled $\left(0-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $67(72 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry THF ( 350 mL ). After stirring for 1 h at $0-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a solution of $71(36 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry THF ( 70 mL ) was added dropwise over 30 min at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the resultant mixture was left at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h . The THF was removed in vacuo, and the residue was partitioned between 1 M citric acid ( 300 mL ) and ether ( 500 mL ). The ethereal extract was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (eluant petroleum ether (bp 60-80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): EtOAc 3:1) to give 72 ( 9.2 g , $13 \%$ from 70 ) as a mixture of isomers ( $E: Z, 2: 1$ ).

2-(Hexyloxy)-1,3-dimethoxy-5-[4-(4-methylthiazol-5yl)butyllbenzene (38). A suspension of $72(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \%$ palladium on carbon ( 200 mg ) in EtOAc $(20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was hydrogenated at atmospheric pressure for 7 h . The catalyst was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The resultant oil was dissolved in ether and treated with a solution of HBr in acetic acid to precipitate a solid. Recrystallization from EtOAc gave $38 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}(0.933 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%)$ : mp 98-99.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.9\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.2-1.7 ( $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.37 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$-thiazole), $2.55\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-thiazole), $2.85\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.75(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.8\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.5(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}), 9.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, thiazole H$), 10.6-11(1 \mathrm{H}$, bs, exchangeable).
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